

References

In: Frame, L. et al., (2001). CalWORKS and Child Welfare: Case Management for Public Child Welfare Workers. Berkeley, CA: Child Welfare Research Center.

**References for
CalWORKs and Child Welfare:
Case Management for Public Child Welfare Workers**

- Atkinson, P. & Hammersley, M. (1998). Ethnography and participant observation. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln, (Eds). Strategies of Qualitative Inquiry, (pp. 110-136). Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- Berg, B.L. (2001). Qualitative research methods for the social sciences (Fourth Edition). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Berns, D. & Drake, B. (1999, March). Combining child welfare and welfare reform at a local level. Policy & Practice of Public Human Services, The Journal of the American Public Human Services Association, 26-34.
- Berns, D. (no date). Addressing poverty issues in child welfare: Effective use of TANF as a prevention resource. El Paso County, Colorado: Department of Human Services.
- Berrick, J.D., Needell, B., Barth, R.P., & Jonson-Reid, M. (1998). The tender years: Toward developmentally sensitive child welfare services for very young children. New York: Columbia University Press.
- California Budget Project. (2001a, February). Reauthorization of the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families block grant: Issues and options from a California perspective. Sacramento, CA: Author. [Online]. Available: <http://www.cbp.org>.
- California Budget Project. (2001b, July). What do we know about former CalWORKs recipients? Sacramento, CA: Author. [Online]. Available: <http://www.cbp.org>.
- California Department of Social Services (2001). CalWORKs Payment Standards, Effective October 1, 2000. CDSS, CalWORKs Maximum Aid Payment Levels. [Online]. Available: <http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/wtw/pdf/00map.pdf>.
- Cherlin, A., Burton, L., Francis, J., Henrici, J., Lein, L., Quane, J., & Bogen, K. (2001). Sanctions and case closing for noncompliance: Who is affected and why. Welfare, Children and Families Policy Brief 01-1. [Available online:]

- Courtney, M. (1997). Child welfare in the context of welfare reform. In S.B. Kamerman & A.J. Kahn, (Eds). *Child Welfare in the Context of Welfare "Reform."* Columbia University School of Social Work, New York.
- Courtney, M., Piliavin, I., & Power, P. (2001). Involvement of TANF Applicants with Child Protective Services. Paper presented at the Association for Public Policy Analysis and Management Research Meeting, Seattle Washington November 2, 2000. [Available online:]
- Crabtree, B.F. & Miller, W.L. (1992). Doing qualitative research. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- Danziger, S. (2001). Response to Zedlewski and Loprest: "How well does TANF fit the needs for the most disadvantaged families?" Paper prepared for The New World of Welfare: Shaping a Post-TANF Agenda for Policy, University of Michigan, February 1 and 2, 2001. [Online]. Available: <http://www.spp.umich.edu/Conferences/responses.htm>.
- Denzin, N. (1978). The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods (2nd Edition). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Douglas, T. & Flores, K. (1998). Federal and state funding of children's programs. Washington, DC: Urban Institute.
- Drake, B. & Pandey, S. (1996). Understanding the relationship between neighborhood poverty and specific types of child maltreatment. Child Abuse and Neglect, 20 (11), 1003-1018.
- Driscoll, A. Speigman, R. & Norris, J. (2000). Alameda County CalWORKs needs assessment: Report #3, Barriers associated with working, hardships of daily living, progress through CalWORKs and work-related activities. Berkeley, CA: Public Health Institute. [Online]. Available: <http://www.phi.org/index-main.htm>.
- Drisko, J.W. (1997). Strengthening qualitative studies and reports: Standards to promote academic integrity. Journal of Social Work Education, 33 (1), 185-197.
- Fein, D. & Lee, W. (2000, Dec). The ABC Evaluation: Impacts of Welfare Reform on Child Maltreatment. Cambridge, MA: Abt Associates, Inc. [Available online:]

- Frame, L., Berrick, J.D., Lee, S., Needell, B., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Barth, R.P., Brookhart, A., & Pernas, M. (1998). *Child welfare in a CalWORKs environment: An empirically-based curriculum*. University of California Berkeley: Child Welfare Research Center.
- Geen, R., Fender, L., Leos-Urbel, J., & Markowitz, T. (2001). *Welfare reform's effects on child welfare caseloads*. Washington, D.C.: Urban Institute.
- Greenberg, M. Levin-Epstein, J., Hutson, R., Ooms, T., Schumacher, R., Turetsky, V., & Engstrom, D. (2000). *Welfare reauthorization: An early guide to the issues*. Washington, DC: Center for Law and Social Policy [Online}. Avail: <http://www.clasp.org/pubs/TANF/packa.htm>.
- Haskins, R., Sawhill, I., & Weaver, K. (2001a). *Welfare reform: An overview of effects to date, Policy Brief 1*. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution. [Online]. Avail: <http://www.brookings.edu/wrb>.
- Haskins, R., Sawhill, I., & Weaver, K. (2001b). *Welfare reform reauthorization: An overview of problems and issue, Policy Brief 2*. Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution. [Online]. Avail: <http://www.brookings.edu/wrb>.
- Huberman, A.M. & Miles, M.B. (1998). *Data management and analysis methods*. In N.K. Denzin & Y.S. Lincoln, (Eds). Collecting and interpreting qualitative materials (pp. 179-210). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Jorgensen, D.L. (1989). Participant observation: A methodology for human studies. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- Kamerman, S.B. & Kahn, A.J. (1997). *Child welfare in the context of welfare "reform."* Report V: *Confronting the new politics of child and family policy in the United States*. New York, NY: Columbia University School of Social Work.
- Klerman, J. A., Zellman, G. L., Chun, T., Humphrey, N., Reardon, E., Farley, D., Ebener, P. A., & Steinberg, P. (2001). Welfare reform in California: State and county implementation of CalWORKs in the second year. Santa Monica, CA: RAND.
- Knitzer, J. & Bernard, S. (1997). *Children and welfare reform: The new welfare law and vulnerable families: Implications for child welfare and child protection systems*. New York, NY: National Center for Children in Poverty, Columbia University.

- Loprest, P. (2001). How are families that left welfare doing? A comparison of early and recent welfare leavers. *New Federalism, National Survey of America's Families, Series B, No B-36*. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute. [Online]. Available: <http://www.urban.org>.
- Lum, R. G. (1997). Alameda County CalWORKs plan [On-line], retrieved September 11, 2001: http://www.co.alameda.us/assistance/publications_reports.shtml.plan.
- Moffitt, R. & Roff, J. (2000). The diversity of welfare leavers. Welfare, Children & Families: A three-city study, Policy Brief 00-2. [Online] Available: <http://www.jhu.edu/~welfare>.
- Needell, B., Cuccaro-Alamin, S., Brookhart, A., & Lee, S. (1999). Transitions from AFDC to child welfare in California. Children and Youth Services Review, 21, 815-841.
- Nelson, K.E., Saunders, E.J., & Landsman, M.J. (1993). Chronic child neglect in perspective. Social Work, 38 (6), 661-671.
- Olson, K. & Pavetti, L. (1996). Personal and family challenges to the successful transition from welfare to work. Washington, D.C.: The Urban Institute.
- Padgett, D.K. (1998). Qualitative methods in social work research: Challenges and rewards. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Pavetti, L. & Bloom, D. (2000, December). Sanctions and time limits: State policies, their implementation and outcomes for families. Paper prepared for The New World of Welfare: Shaping a Post-TANF Agenda for Policy, University of Michigan, February 1 and 2, 2001. [Online]. Available: <http://www.spp.umich.edu/Conferences/final.htm>.
- Paxson, C. & Waldfogel, J. (1999a). Parental resources and child abuse and neglect. American Economic Review Papers and Proceedings, 89 (2), 239-44.
- Paxson, C. & Waldfogel, J. (1999b). Work, welfare, and child maltreatment. National Bureau of Economic Research working paper No. 7343, Cambridge MA: NBER. [Online]. Available: <http://papers.nber.org/papers/W7343.pdf>.
- Paxson, C. & Waldfogel, J. (2001). Welfare reforms, family resources, and child maltreatment. In B. Meyer & G. Duncan, (Eds). The incentives of government

- programs and the well-being of families. Joint Center for Poverty Research. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jcpr.org/book/pdf/IncentivesWaldChap2.pdf>.
- Romero, D., Chavkin, W., & Wise, P. (2000). The impact of welfare reform policies on child protective services: A national study. Journal of Social Issues, 56 (4), 799-809.
- Schorr, A.K. (2000). The bleak prospect for public child welfare. Social Service Review, 74 (1), 124-136.
- Shook, K. (1998). Assessing the consequences of welfare reform for child welfare. Poverty research news, Volume II (I), 8-12.
- Shook, K. (1999). Does the loss of welfare income increase the risk of involvement with the child welfare system? Children and Youth Services Review, 21, 781-813.
- Shook, K. (1999, January 1). Does the loss of welfare income increase the risk of involvement with the child welfare system? JCPR Working Paper. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jcpr.org/wp/WPprofile.cfm?ID=65>]
- Singleton, R.a., Straits, B.C., & Straits, M.M. (1993). Approaches to social research (Second Edition). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Speigman, R., Fujiwara, L., Norris, J. & Green, R. (1999). Alameda County CalWORKs needs assessment: Report #1, A look at potential health-related barriers to self-sufficiency. Berkeley, CA: Public Health Institute. [Online]. Available: <http://www.phi.org/index-main.htm>.
- Strauss, A. & Corbin, J. (1990). Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- United States Department of Health and Human Services, Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation. (2000). Dynamics of children's movement among AFDC, MediCaid, and Foster Care Programs Prior to Welfare Reform: 1995-1996. Washington, DC: Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.
- United States Department of Health and Human Services. (1996). Results of the third national incidence study on child maltreatment in the United States. Washington, D.C.: National Center on Child Abuse and Neglect.
- Waterston, A. (1999). Love, sorrow, and rage: Destitute women in a Manhattan residence. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.

- Weisner, T.S., Bernheimer, L.P., Lieber, E., Gibson, C., Howard, E., Magnuson, K., Romich, J., Syam, D., Espinosa, V., and Chmielewski, N. (2000, January-February). Understanding better the lives of poor families: Ethnographic and survey studies in the New Hope experiment. Poverty Research News, 4 (1), 10-12.
- Wells, K. & Guo, S. (1999). Reunification and reentry of foster children. Children and Youth Services Review, 21 (4), 273-294.
- Wells, K. & Guo, S. (in press). Mothers' welfare and work income and reunification with children in foster care. Children and Youth Services Review.
- Wolock, I. & Horowitz, B. (1979). Child maltreatment and material deprivation among AFDC-recipient families. Social Service Review, 53, 175-194.
- Zedlewski, S. & Loprest, P. (2000, December 29). How well does TANF fit the needs of the most disadvantaged families? Revised draft, prepared for The New World of Welfare: Shaping a Post-TANF Agenda for Policy, University of Michigan, February 1 and 2, 2001. [Online]. Available: <http://www.spp.umich.edu/Conferences/final.htm>.
- Zimmerman, W. & Tumlin, K.C. (1999). Patchwork policies: State assistance for immigrants under welfare reform. Washington, DC: The Urban Institute. <http://newfederalism.urban.org/pdf/occ24.pdf>.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the parents and children who shared with us their experiences, including the triumphs and challenges they have faced. This project has been made possible because of their willingness to let us into their daily lives.

In the creation of this curriculum we have benefited enormously from the support of the the California Social Work Education Center, along with the Stuart Foundations and the Urban Institute. Rob Geen, Jennifer Ehrle, and Freya Sonenstein, along with Pat Reynolds Harris, offered their insights on the effects of welfare reform as the study progressed. We are appreciative of our collaboration with the University of California, Berkeley's Survey Research Center (SRC), and thank SRC staff members, particularly Madonna Camel, Lisa Kermish, and Henry Brady. Additionally, this curriculum and the study that supported it could not have been completed without the help of the Alameda County Social Services Agency, management and staff. Many members of this agency were essential to the recruitment process for the study, and we would like to especially thank Melissa Lim Brodowski, Tom Clancy, Donna DeAngelis, and Linda Fuchs. Within the Center for Social Services Research, Karie Frasch was an integral part of the consultation team as the study progressed.

The findings included in this curriculum are based on a small-sample, qualitative study of families affected by welfare reform. The study design allows for a rich description of these families' experiences, and sheds light on some possible effects of welfare reform on child welfare. However, the findings are not generalizable to a larger population of welfare participants or families involved with child welfare services. Any errors or omissions with respect to the analysis presented here are the responsibility of the authors.